

**FNI-INF**

**Monsieur Armand JAMIER**

President INF-FNI

Mr. Hervé BÉGEOT

President Legal Council

[hbegeot@aol.com](mailto:hbegeot@aol.com)

Mr President,

Thank you for having informed me about this complaint I unfortunately was not surprised of, given the fact that I have been informed already during the return by the driver who took Mrs President and her husband, Mr Secretary of the INF-FNI and his wife, Mr Treasurer and President of the Federation of Liechtenstein, the President of FENAIT and the Representative of Germany. Shall I use his testimony as of now?

On the other hand, I am surprised at the complaint by the president of the Federation of Liechtenstein; did he have the right to vote and by which entitlement?

Also surprised at Switzerland, with whom I spent the rest of our stay on the island, could there be an attesting letter?

Contrary to the circulating rumours, I had not decided to run for the presidency, but during this congress, many federations had approached me to talk to me about this issue and to insistently solicit my acceptance.

After having hesitated and the request having been specified, then having accepted, the Federation of New-Zealand had presented my candidacy.

After my explanations of my motivations and having answered the questions, the Chairman of the meeting had asked me and Sieglinde to leave the room, so to proceed with the voting operations.

The president checked, in front of the assembly, whether France was authorised to vote and after the agreement the procedure could start.

Could it be that the Chairman of the meeting made a mistake, did he not have the regulations on hand, and did he fail in his obligations?

Contrary to what has been written, after having intervened after my election, the resumption of the voting operations was ensured by Louis COTARD for France. Was the Chairman of the meeting in full possession of his resources? Shall I refer to an electronic message from the INF-FNI Vice-president Secretary to a French administrator, the least non-complimentary on the vote of the assembly?

After the counting of votes, I was informed and I am surprised at the attitude of the Chairman of the meeting, with little habit to violate procedures, Absences, Emotions, Calculation?

I admit that I wish to receive an explanation.

Concerning the transfers of votes of the Danish delegate and his Proxies, the request had been made in front of the assembly and it was the committee that accepted without any protest from the assembly. Also there, although I can understand that a change of the INF-FNI presidency was not planned at that moment, would there be any decisions with variable geometry and at what interests?

Interviews by the new president will be released in the media at the end of the week, can they be blocked?

Requests for interventions by the new president in Denmark, in Italy, in the Netherlands, in Canada, in the USA, in Brazil, and so on....., have I got to inform everybody about the situation?

How shall the fact be interpreted that the president did not wish to resume her position after the vote, in spite of my reminder that her mandate was not to be interrupted before the end of the congress?  
As she had left right after the end of the votings, I had to assume my role in the organisation of the working groups for the afternoon and for the closing evening of the congress, with low-enthusiastic comments of the congressmen regarding the absence of the president.

Is that a normal situation?

The same regarding the differences of point of view, I think it is urgent as Sieglinde committed herself in front of the assembly, to respect the wishes expressed by the assembly and to start the transition in good intelligence.

I wish, as I did during the congress, to remember all my friendship and my thanks to Sieglinde for her action and her commitment.

Awaiting your reply for the outcome, I remain

Sincerely Yours

INF-FNI President  
Armand JAMIER

(English translation of the French text by Pieter Lagendijk)